



December 7, 2020

**Uploaded to:** <https://foiaonline.gov/foiaonline/action/public/request>

Regional Freedom of Information Officer  
U.S. EPA, Region 4  
AFC Bldg, 61 Forsyth Street., S.W., 9th Flr (4PM/IF)  
Atlanta, GA 30303-8960

Re: Alabama Public Records Law Request Re Plant Barry NPDES Permit No. AL0002879 and 316(a) Thermal Variance

Dear Regional Freedom of Information Officer:

Under the Freedom of Information Act, 40 C.F.R. § 2.100 et. seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records pertaining to the Barry Steam Electric Generating Plant in Bucks, Mobile County, Alabama. The remainder of this request consists of three sections: (1) the applicable definitions; (2) the description of the requested records; and (3) the instructions for the timely production of an electronic copy.

### **DEFINITIONS**

**“Public records”** means all documents—regardless of their physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission—made or received by or otherwise in the possession of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA);

**“the Barry Plant”** means the fossil fuel burning plant owned and operated by Alabama Power Company (APC) located in Bucks, Alabama, on the shores of the Mobile River;

**“EPA”** means the United States Environmental Protection Agency;

### **REQUESTED RECORDS**

Sierra Club requests prompt production of the following:<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Sierra Club has reviewed documents available on ADEM’s efilings system and not located the

1. With regard to the following document: Screening Site Inspection, Phase II, Alabama Power Company, Barry Steam Plant, Bucks, Mobile County, Alabama, EPA ID # ALD082148800, Prepared Under TDD No. F4-9001-181 Contract No 68-01-7346, for the Waste Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, April 3, 1991 (“Screening Site Inspection”)<sup>2</sup>:
  - a. Any and all documents leading up to the decision to undertake that Screening Site Inspection and pertaining to the Barry Plant;
  - b. Any and all documents reflecting any follow up associated with or responsive to the Screening Site Inspection, or the information contained in that Screening Site Inspection, including but not limited to the statement in Section 5.0, “Summary,” that “Based on the analysis of possible migration pathways, the results of sampling investigation, and the information obtained from the references, FIT 4 recommends Phase I of a Listing Site Inspection be initiated for the Alabama Power Company – Barry Steam Plant.” Screening Site Inspection at 29.

If available, all records are specifically requested in electronic format. In the instance that any of the requested records in electronic form is too large to provide via e-mail, the Sierra Club can provide a link to box.com or another online dropbox, allowing for easy upload of the records to an electronic folder.

Please convey the production by electronic email<sup>3</sup> to:

Sierra Club

[julie.kaplan@sierraclub.org](mailto:julie.kaplan@sierraclub.org)

[Olivia.Funk@sierraclub.org](mailto:Olivia.Funk@sierraclub.org)

Please produce the requested records on a rolling basis; at no point should the processing of records supplementing the production responsive to the request delay the production of responsive records.

If you are able to provide some records more rapidly than others, we ask that you please forward those records as they become available for production. If you ultimately withhold any responsive records, please include in your response an explanation as to which records or portions of records, if any, are being withheld and please identify the privilege or exemption being asserted and the justification for that privilege or exemption. If a record includes both exempt and non-exempt information, please provide those portions of the record that are not specifically exempted from production. Finally, if a record does not exist, please indicate that in your written response.

---

information that is the subject of this request.

<sup>2</sup> Note Sierra Club already has a copy of the Screening Site Inspection report.

<sup>3</sup> Due to our present work from home posture, we are not requesting hard copies.

If there are any fees for searching or copying these records, please inform me if the cost will exceed \$50. **All invoices should be billed to the Sierra Club Environmental Law Program, Attn: Julie Kaplan, 50 F Street, NW, Eighth Floor, Washington, DC 20001, but invoices should be sent to [Julie.kaplan@sierraclub.org](mailto:Julie.kaplan@sierraclub.org), as our offices are currently closed.**

I respectfully request that you waive all fees in connection with this request as provided by 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii). The Sierra Club has spent years promoting the public interest through the development of policies that protect human health and the environment, and has routinely received fee waivers under FOIA.

The Sierra Club is a national, nonprofit, environmental organization with no commercial interest in obtaining the requested information. Instead, the Sierra Club intends to educate the public about the proposed activity, so that the public can access this important information regarding water pollution in their communities.

As explained below, this FOIA request satisfies the factors listed in under the FOIA statute – that “disclosure of the information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester.” *See* 32 C.F.R. §§ 286.12 (l), 518.19 (d).

1. *The subject matter of the requested records must specifically concern identifiable “operations and activities of the government.”* The requested records relate to activities EPA conducted in order to assess environmental issues and risks at and from the Barry plant. This activity is unquestionably “identifiable operations or activities of the government.” The Department of Justice Freedom of Information Act Guide expressly concedes that “in most cases records possessed by a federal agency will meet this threshold” of identifiable operations or activities of the government.

2. *The disclosure of the requested documents must have an informative value and be “likely to contribute to an understanding of Federal government operations or activities.”* The FOIA Guide makes it clear that, in the Department of Justice’s view, the “likely to contribute” determination hinges in part on whether the requested documents provide information that is not already in the public domain. Sierra Club has searched ADEM’s efilg portal, and has concluded that the requested records are not currently in the public domain and are “likely to contribute” to an understanding of EPA’s activities and decisions.

3. *The disclosure must contribute to the understanding of the public at large, as opposed to the individual understanding of the requester or a narrow segment of interested persons. Under this factor, the identity and qualifications of the requester—i.e., expertise in the subject area of the request and ability and intention to disseminate the information to the public—is examined.*

Sierra Club and its members have long-standing experience and expertise in the subject area of FOIA requests, specifically, issues related to contamination associated with the disposal of coal ash waste. Sierra Club also has a long-standing interest in government accountability and transparency.

Sierra Club disseminates the information it receives through FOIA requests in a variety of ways, including, but not limited to: analysis and distribution to the media, distribution through publication and mailing, posting on the Club's website, emailing and list serve distribution to our members across the U.S., and via public meetings and events. Every year the Sierra Club website receives 40,730 unique visits and 100,381 page views; on average, the site gets 104 visits per day. Sierra Magazine, which is a quarterly magazine published by the Sierra Club, has a circulation of approximately 1,000,000. Sierra Club Insider, an electronic newsletter, is sent to over 850,000 people twice a month.

In addition, Sierra Club disseminates information obtained by FOIA requests through comments to administrative agencies, and where necessary, through the judicial system. Sierra Club intends to share information received from this FOIA request with the public.

Sierra Club unquestionably has the "specialized knowledge" and "ability and intention" to disseminate the information requested in the broad manner outlined above, and to do so in a manner that contributes to the understanding of the "public-at-large."

4. *The disclosure must contribute "significantly" to public understanding of government operations or activities. The public's understanding must be likely to be enhanced by the disclosure to a significant extent.* EPA has long run a Superfund program, and the documents sought are related to its implementation of that responsibility, specifically at the Barry Plant. Without the disclosure, the public will not know what follow up, if any, EPA took to the 1991 Screening Site Inspection for the Barry Plant, thus the public's understanding would be enhanced significantly. The requirement that disclosure must contribute "significantly" to the public understanding is therefore met.

5. *Whether the requester has a commercial interest that would be furthered by the requested disclosure.* The Sierra Club has no commercial interest in the requested records. Nor does it have any intention to use these records in any manner that "furthers a commercial, trade, or profit interest" as those terms are commonly understood. The Sierra Club is a tax-exempt organization under sections 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code, and as such has no commercial interest. The requested records will be used for the furtherance of the Sierra Club's mission to inform the public on matters of vital importance to the environment and public health.

6. *Whether the magnitude of the identified commercial interest of the requester is sufficiently large, in comparison with the public interest in disclosure, that disclosure is "primarily in the commercial interest of the requester."* When a commercial interest is found to exist and that interest would be furthered by the requested disclosure, an agency must assess the magnitude of such interest in order to compare it to the "public interest" in disclosure. If no commercial interest exists, an assessment of that non-existent interest is not required. As noted above, the Sierra Club has no commercial interest in the requested records.

The Sierra Club respectfully requests, because the public will be the primary beneficiary of this requested information, that EPA waive all fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A).

Please feel free to e-mail me at [julie.kaplan@sierraclub.org](mailto:julie.kaplan@sierraclub.org), or call me at (301) 758 3786 to discuss any aspect of this request. Thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/

Julie Kaplan

Senior Attorney

[julie.kaplan@sierraclub.org](mailto:julie.kaplan@sierraclub.org)